

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)  
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90004  
Telephone: (213) 252-8008  
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009  
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
DEONDRE RAGLIN

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DEONDRE RAGLIN,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.

PAWN ZONE CORP; CARLOS G.  
ROBLES; and DOES 1 to 10,  
Defendants.

**Case No.:**

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL  
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED  
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES  
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL  
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED  
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY  
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff DEONDRE RAGLIN ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants PAWN  
ZONE CORP; CARLOS G. ROBLES; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as  
follows:

//

//

//

**PARTIES**

1  
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers  
3 from paraplegia due to T8 spinal cord injury and is substantially limited in his ability to  
4 walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

5 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,  
6 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a pawn shop  
7 (“Business”) located at or about 7653 Pacific Blvd., Huntington Park, California.

8 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or  
9 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,  
10 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of  
11 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been  
12 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such  
13 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to  
14 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

15 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant  
16 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other  
17 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing  
18 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other  
19 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

20 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or  
21 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be  
22 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly  
23 and severally.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and  
26 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*  
27 *seq.*).  
28



1 persons with disabilities as there were no “NO PARKING” markings  
2 painted on the surface of the access aisle.

3 d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
4 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
5 failed to paint the ground as required as the access aisle was not  
6 outlined with blue paint.

7 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the  
8 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and  
9 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because  
10 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are  
11 removed.

12 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
13 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
14 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*  
15 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

16 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
17 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
18 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

19 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion  
20 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to  
21 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business  
22 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24  
23 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the  
24 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

25 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

26 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

27 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
28 paragraphs in this complaint.

1           19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual  
2 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of  
3 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of  
4 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place  
5 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

6           20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 7           a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or  
8 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such  
9 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations  
10 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that  
11 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of  
12 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or  
13 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 14           b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no  
15 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or  
16 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the  
17 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can  
18 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the  
19 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
20 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
21 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 22           c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
23 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
24 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
25 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
26 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
27 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
28 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

1 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
2 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily  
3 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
4 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
5 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
6 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
7 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
8 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
9 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area  
10 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and  
11 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

12 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be  
13 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every  
14 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in  
15 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA  
16 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall  
17 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

18 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by  
19 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California  
20 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the  
21 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized  
22 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign  
23 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A  
24 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and  
25 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12  
26 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the  
27 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.  
28

1           23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at  
2 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site  
3 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be  
4 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in  
5 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: "Unauthorized vehicles parked in  
6 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license  
7 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner's expense..."  
8 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

9           24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with  
10 the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the  
11 signs stating, "Minimum Fine \$250" and "Van Accessible." Moreover, Defendants failed  
12 to provide the additional sign with the specific language stating "Unauthorized vehicles  
13 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special  
14 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner's  
15 expense..."

16           25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted  
17 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked  
18 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting  
19 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall  
20 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches  
21 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §  
22 11B-502.3.3.

23           26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no  
24 "NO PARKING" markings painted on the parking surface. Additionally, the access aisle  
25 was not properly outlined using blue paint.

26           27. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those  
27 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable  
28 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).







1           34. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
 2 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by  
 3 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.  
 4 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§  
 5 51 and 52.

6           35. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience  
 7 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory  
 8 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

### 9                                   **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 10                           **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

11           36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13           37. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be  
 14 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to  
 15 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,  
 16 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,  
 17 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes  
 18 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise  
 19 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,  
 20 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the  
 21 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by  
 22 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

23           38. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or  
 24 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities  
 25 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an  
 26 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for  
 27 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting  
 28 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no

1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be  
 2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights  
 3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4 39. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an  
 5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also  
 6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit  
 7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8 40. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
 9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled  
 10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have  
 11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12 41. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to  
 13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for  
 14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

#### 15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 16 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19 43. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of  
 20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each  
 21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §  
 22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the  
 23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24 44. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure  
 25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds  
 26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of  
 27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also  
 28 require that "when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for persons with disabilities.

45. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

### **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **NEGLIGENCE**

46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

47. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities to the Plaintiff.

48. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

1           3.     Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition  
2 practices;

3           4.     Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this  
4 action;

5           5.     Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6           6.     Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

7                               **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8           Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby  
9 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

10  
11 Dated: November 8, 2023

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

12  
13  
14 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
15 Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28